

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/613,891	07/02/2003	Varadarajan Srinivasan	NLMI.P194	6901	
25670 W/II I I A M I	7590 05/30/2007 PARADICE III		EXAM	INER	
WILLIAM L. PARADICE, III 4880 STEVENS CREEK BOULEVARD			LEE, BE	LEE, BETTY E	
SUITE 201 SAN JOSE, CA	V 05120	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ortiv Jood, or	() 3 1 2)		2616		
		·			
	,	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/613,891	SRINIVASAN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Betty Lee	2616		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	<u>ıly 2003</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) 🔯	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.		·		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗀	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers	•			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
•	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[cted to by the Examiner.		
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) 🔲 🤄	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	received in this National Stage		
* 0	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
¨ 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the centilled copies not	received.		
Attachment	• •	_			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date		
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims **1, 2, 5, 7, 8, and 10-26** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Onvural et al. (US 2002/0150115).

Regarding claim 1, Onvural teaches a departure time calculator for generating a departure time for each packet (see paragraph 27 lines 1-4); a departure time prioritizer for comparing the departure times with each other to determine which of the departure times is the earliest (see paragraph 24 lines 1-2); and a token generator for generating a token for each packet (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17).

Regarding claim 2, Onvural teaches the departure time calculator and the departure time prioritizer comprise a packet scheduler (see paragraph 24 lines 1-2 and paragraph 27 lines 1-4; Assigning timestamps to determine when the packets should be transmitted is scheduling the packet transmissions. The sorter schedules the packets by earliest deadline first.).

Regarding claim 5, Onvural teaches a table having a plurality of rows, each for storing the departure time for a corresponding packet (see paragraph 24 lines 12-16);

and compare logic having a plurality of outputs coupled to corresponding inputs of the token generator (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17; The token is used to locate the data in the memory. This is what the index is used for in the invention of Onvural.).

Regarding claim 7, Onvural teaches each token comprises a next free address in the table (see paragraph 38 lines 1-3; Since the index can be implemented as a linked list, the index pointer indicates the free addresses in the table by showing which slots contain a packet.).

Regarding claim 8, Onvural teaches the tokens further comprise addresses for a memory that stores a payload for each corresponding packet (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17).

Regarding claim 10, Onvural teaches each row of the table includes a valid bit indicating whether a valid departure time is stored in the row (see paragraph 38 lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 11, Onvural teaches the tokens are generated in response to the valid bits (see paragraph 39 lines 1-9).

Regarding claim 12, Onvural teaches a table having a plurality of rows, each row for storing a departure time for a corresponding packet (see paragraph 27 lines 1-4); a compare circuit having a plurality of inputs coupled to corresponding rows of the table, the compare circuit operable to compare the departure times stored in the table with each other to determine which row stores the earliest departure time (see paragraph 24 lines 1-2); and a priority encoder having inputs coupled to corresponding

Application/Control Number: 10/613,891

Art Unit: 2616

outputs of the compare circuit (see paragraph 34 lines 10-12; The sorter is the compare circuit.).

Regarding claim 13, Onvural teaches the compare circuit asserts a select signal corresponding to the row in the table that stores the earliest departure time (see paragraph 35 lines 1-5; The sorter/compare circuit uses a pointer to determine the earliest time.).

Regarding claim 14, Onvural teaches an index of the earliest departure time in response to the asserted select signal (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17).

Regarding claim 15, Onvural teaches the index comprises a token that identifies the packet corresponding to the earliest departure time (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17).

Regarding claim 16, Onvural teaches each row of the table includes a valid bit indicating whether the row stores a valid departure time (see paragraph 38 lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 17, Onvural teaches a next free address in the table in response to the valid bits, the next free address comprising a token that identifies a corresponding packet (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17 and paragraph 38 lines 1-3; Since the index can be implemented as a linked list, the index pointer indicates the free addresses in the table by showing which slots contain a packet.).

Regarding claim 18, Onvural teaches a departure time calculator coupled to the table (see paragraph 27 lines 1-4).

Regarding claim 19, Onvural teaches a departure time calculator for calculating a departure time for each packet (see paragraph 27 lines 1-4); a table including a plurality of rows, each for storing the departure time for a corresponding packet (see

paragraph 24 lines 12-16); a compare circuit having inputs coupled to the rows of the table, the compare circuit for comparing the departure times stored in the table with each other to select the row that contains the earliest departure time (see paragraph 24 lines 1-2); and a priority encoder having a plurality of inputs coupled to corresponding rows of the table, the priority encoder for generating an address of the selected row (see paragraph 34 lines 10-12; The sorter is the compare circuit. The index is the address used for the row.).

Regarding claim 20, Onvural teaches a plurality of valid bits, each indicating whether valid data is stored in a corresponding row of the table, where the priority encoder generates a next free address for the table in response to the valid bits (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17 and paragraph 38 lines 1-3; Since the index can be implemented as a linked list, the index pointer indicates the free addresses in the table by showing which slots contain a packet.).

Regarding claim 21, Onvural teaches calculating a departure time for each packet (see paragraph 27 lines 1-4); comparing the departure times with each other to determine which departure time is the earliest (see paragraph 24 lines 1-2); and transmitting the packet corresponding to the earliest departure time (see paragraph 24 lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 22, Onvural teaches generating a token for each packet (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17; The index is used as the token.); and storing each packet's departure time at a location in a table addressed by the packet's token (see paragraph 38 lines 1-8; The index addresses a location in the table.).

Regarding claim 23, Onvural teaches storing a payload for each packet at a location in a packet memory addressed by the packet's token (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17; The index is used to locate the packet within the memory.).

Regarding claim 24, Onvural teaches generating a token for each packet (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17; The index is used as the token.); calculating a departure time for each packet (see paragraph 27 lines 1-4); storing the departure times in rows of a table addressed by the tokens (see paragraph 38 lines 1-8; The index addresses a location in the table.); comparing the departure times with each other to determine which departure time is the earliest (see paragraph 24 lines 1-2); identifying the packet corresponding to the earliest departure time; and transmitting the identified packet (see paragraph 24 lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 25, Onvural teaches asserting a signal line for the row of the table that contains the earliest departure time (see paragraph 35 lines 1-5; The sorter/compare circuit uses a pointer to determine the earliest time.); generating an index of the row having the asserted signal line (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17); and reading a packet from a location in a packet memory addressed by the index (see paragraph 24 lines 16-17).

Regarding claim 26, Onvural teaches generating a next free address for the table (see paragraph 38 lines 1-3; Since the index can be implemented as a linked list, the index pointer indicates the free addresses in the table by showing which slots contain a packet.).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,891 Page 7

Art Unit: 2616

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims **3 and 4** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onvural et al. (US 2002/0150115) in view of Lynn et al. (*The Priority Token Bank in a Network of Queues*).

Regarding claim 3, Onvural teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the token generator comprising a priority encoder. Lynn teaches the token generator comprising a priority encoder (see Section 3, pg. 1388 paragraph 4 lines 1-4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Lynn in the system of Onvural. The motivation for using the

priority token bank as taught by Lynn in the system of Onvural is that that priority token bank would be able to guarantee a certain quality of service.

Regarding claim 4, Onvural teaches the departure time prioritizer comprises a programmable priority encoder (see paragraph 34 lines 10-12; The priority encoder is programmed to assign priorities based on QoS requirements.). Onvural teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the token generator comprising a programmable priority encoder.

Lynn teaches the token generator comprising a programmable priority encoder (see Section 3, pg. 1388 paragraph 4 lines 1-4; The priority encoder is programmed to assign priorities based on QoS requirements.). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of Lynn in the system of Onvural. The motivation for using the priority token bank as taught by Lynn in the system of Onvural is that that priority token bank would be able to guarantee a certain quality of service.

6. Claims **6 and 9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onvural et al. (US 2002/0150115) in view of McAlpine (US 6,011,798).

Regarding claim 6, Onvural teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of more than one row of the table stores the same departure time. Mcalpine teaches more than one row of the table stores the same departure time (see col. 5 lines 27-32). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the to use the system of McAlpine in the system of Onvural. The

motivation for using the system of McAlpine in the system of Onvural is so that the system will be able to transmit more than one packet with the same scheduled time.

Regarding claim 9, Onvural teaches all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the departure times can be stored in any order in the table, regardless of priority. McAlpine teaches that the departure times can be stored in any order in the table, regardless of priority (see col. 5 lines 11-15). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the system of McAlpine in the system of Onvural. The motivation for using the system of McAlpine in the system of Onvural is so that the packets do not have to be sorted before placing them in the table, which simplifies the process.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Furusawa (US 2002/0181469), Elbourne et al. (US 2003/0156588), and Kamiya (US 6,704,321) are all cited to show systems which are considered pertinent to the claimed invention.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betty Lee whose telephone number is (571) 270-1412. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9-5 EST and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RΙ